

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 23313-1450 www.uspio.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FELING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFRMATION NO.

 09/367,244
 08/10/1999
 PIERGIORGIO BENUZZI
 BUG2106
 4118

 27885
 7590
 12/31/2009
 EXAMINER

 2788
 7590
 12/31/2009
 EXAMINER

 FAY SHARPE LLP
 FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR

 1228 Euclid Avenue, 5th Floor
 FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR

 The Halle Building
 ART UNIT
 PAPER NUMBER

 Cleveland, OH 44115
 3724

12/31/2009 PAPER

DELIVERY MODE

MAIL DATE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/367.244 BENUZZI, PIERGIORGIO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Omar Flores-Sánchez 3724 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper Nots (Mail Date

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Otner:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 12/17/08.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ess (4,392,401) in view of Blaimschein (5,137,399).

Ess discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a support surface 12, a movable device 15, first and second directions (see Fig. 4 and 6, where the direction are represented by the arrows), a sawing device 10, a plurality of pickup elements 17 selectively operable between an opened position and a gripping position (see col. 3, lines 26-27, where the clamps 17 are individually controllable to grasp or release a planar workpiece. Ess doesn't show at least a pickup element is movable relative to the movable device in both first and second directions when the pickup element is in the gripping position. However, Blaimschein teaches the use of pickup elements 11 are movable relative to the movable device in both first and second directions (see Fig. 2-3) when the pickup element is in the gripping position for the purpose of permitting to act on the workpieces at optimum locations and coordination. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Ess by providing the pickup element is movable relative to the

movable device in both first and second directions as taught by Blaimschein in order to obtain a device that permits to act on the workpieces at optimum locations and coordination. Also, Ess teaches pickup elements 17 are movable in both first and second directions when the pickup elements are in the gripping position (see Fig. 4 and 6).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The indicated allowability of claims 19-20 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, Naldi, Kuroda, Tokairin et al., Haenni et al. and Jenkner are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/O. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 12/29/2009 /Boyer D. Ashley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724